



What's So Scary About an Idea?

September 14, 2017—"We want a new paradigm in politics--away from geopolitics, to the common aims of mankind," Helga Zepp-LaRouche stated in an interview published by Germany's {Junge Welt} in its Sept. 13 edition. "We believe that a continuation of geopolitics holds the danger of confrontation with Russia and China. That is one of the reasons we support the initiative of Xi Jinping to create a New Silk Road on the basis of win-win cooperation among all the nations of the world."

Zepp-LaRouche added that "the vision of the New Silk Road was an idea of ours," and its full implementation will finally put an end to "the consequences of colonialism and the IMF credit conditionalities that followed it. Only development of infrastructure creates the preconditions for a real development of the entire continent" of Africa, and of the world.

But within hours of having published Zepp-LaRouche's interview, {Junge Welt} unpublished it--they removed all traces of it from their website!

What's so scary about an idea, you might ask, that it would cause such a pathetic, ham-fisted display of censorship?

Start with the fact that the entire trans-Atlantic financial system is dangling by the thinnest of threads, and could plunge into chaos at any moment. In fact, even the ultra-liberal Adam Smith Institute of Great Britain had to admit in a report published today, that the global financial system is an "accident waiting to happen," and that the so-called stress tests of the banks are a fraud which are covering up the fact that the banks today are leveraged far more than they were a decade ago, right before the 2007-2008 blowout.

The British Empire decidedly does not want the New Silk Road idea on the table as an alternative, as their financial system goes down in flames.

Then, if you are London, you definitely have to

worry about what President Trump might do on his upcoming November trip to Asia, where he is scheduled to meet with China's President Xi Jinping, and will come in personal contact with other world leaders--including Russia's Vladimir Putin--at the ASEAN and APEC summit meetings. All of London's efforts to tar and feather Trump have failed so far: as {Politico} noted in an article headlined "Teflon Donald Trump," "Democrats have attacked the president every which way, but polling and focus groups show none of it's working." It seems normal Americans are not as stupid as the British would like, and still want skilled jobs, serious investment in infrastructure, and cooperation with other nations to achieve those results--policies which Trump promised voters, but has yet to deliver on.

As Helga Zepp-LaRouche emphasized in discussions with colleagues today, what the British, Wall Street, and the European Union are offering people on both sides of the Atlantic, is only to stick to the existing rules and standards of the financial system, but that is "all built on sand." It amounts to nothing more than keeping the speculative bubble intact, and to ensure there is no cooperation with China's Belt and Road Initiative. But with every day that passes, that New Silk Road and its win-win approach to global relations, and the prospect of real investment in great infrastructure projects, becomes more and more attractive.

And that is an idea that the British fear--and with good reason. As Lyndon LaRouche famously said in a speech in Sao Paulo, Brazil in 2002: "Why can't we change the rules? Aren't we human beings?... Are man and woman not made equally in the image of the Creator of the Universe, and endowed with these powers? Do not we have the authority, above anything on this planet, to change the rules? We have the power. That's what sovereignty means. Sovereignty means the power to make the rules by means of which we can survive."

SUBSCRIBE TO LAROCHEPAC'S WEEKLY EMAIL
LPAC.CO/ACTION